BASIS FOR THE AMENDMENT

Claim 1 has been amended by incorporating therein the limitations of allowable Claim 3, Claim 6 has been amended by incorporating therein the limitation of allowable Claim 8, and Claim 11 has been amended by incorporating therein the limitations of allowable Claim 13, Claims 3, 8, and 13 thus having been canceled.

Also, the dependencies of Claims 4, 9 and 14 have accordingly been corrected.

Application No. 10/050,118
Reply to Office Action of October 31, 2003

REMARKS

Entry of this amendment and favorable reconsideration of this application is

requested.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12 and 14-20 remain in the case.

Claims 3, 4, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16 and 17 stand objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of

the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The claims have been amended consistent therewith in a manner so as to place them

in condition for allowance. They are now restricted to the invention as defined by claims

considered allowable by the Examiner, thereby obviating the Examiner's rejections under 35

U.S.C. § 102(b) and 103(a) over the cited and applied art.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 103

is requested.

With regard to the objection to the Title, a new Title as suggested by the Examiner

has been provided.

Accordingly, a formal Notice of Allowance of this application is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/03)

SHB:smi

Norman F. Oblon

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

Samuel H. Blech

Registration No. 32,082